

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	PLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/709,704 05/24/2004		Alex Colvin	81100109	3703		
46535	7590	03/13/2006		EXAMINER		
-	PLC/FGTL	MCCALL, ERIC SCOTT				
45094 MIDDLEBURY COURT CANTON, MI 48188-3215				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2855	2855		
				DATE MAILED: 03/13/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

0
Æ
71 H
11/
$\mathbf{X} \mathcal{U}'$
IV
<i>i I</i>
1/

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/709,704	COLVIN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Eric S. McCall	2855		

	LITO S. MICCAII		2000	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sl	neet with the d	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 02 March 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CON	IDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an a tice of Appeal (with	imendment, af appeal fee) in	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 Cl	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	•			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 76	ater than SIX MONTH (b). ONLY CHECK BO	S from the mailir	ng date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corres shortened statutory per than three months aft	ponding amount riod for reply orig	of the fee. The appropri ginally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CF	R 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,		~		ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		earch (see NO	TE below);	
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or 	• •	by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding numb	per of finally re	jected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		otice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	•			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).				
7. Yes For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		•	ili be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	vercome <u>all</u> rejectio	ns under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	n of the status of the	e claims after e	entry is below or attach	ned.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	,			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu				nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 013. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO	-1449) Paper I	No(s)	
			11.111111	
			Eric S. McCall	
			Primary ExaminerArt Unit: 2855	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: After review of the Applicant's proposed amendments to claims 1, 15, and 32, the said amendments are deemed as raising new issues that would require further consideration and search. Specifically, the Applicant's newly proposed claims would require a new search of the prior art.